



Details: Complaint.

(FORM UPDATED: 08/11/2010)

# WISCONSIN STATE LEGISLATURE ... PUBLIC HEARING - COMMITTEE RECORDS

2007-08

(session year)

## **Ioint**

(Assembly, Senate or Joint)

Committee for Review of Administrative Rules...

## **COMMITTEE NOTICES ...**

- Committee Reports ... CR
- Executive Sessions ... ES
- Public Hearings ... PH

## INFORMATION COLLECTED BY COMMITTEE FOR AND AGAINST PROPOSAL

- Appointments ... Appt (w/Record of Comm. Proceedings)
- Clearinghouse Rules ... CRule (w/Record of Comm. Proceedings)
- Hearing Records ... bills and resolutions (w/Record of Comm. Proceedings)

(ab = Assembly Bill)

(ar = Assembly Resolution)

(ajr = Assembly Joint Resolution)

(sb = Senate Bill)

(**sr** = Senate Resolution)

(sjr = Senate Joint Resolution)

Miscellaneous ... Misc

HARLAN RICHARDS

Plaintiff,

-v-

P.O. Box 938

MARK HEISE, CLASSIFICATION CHIEF, Department of Corrections 3099 E. Washington Ave. Madison, WI 53707-7925.

Oakhill Correctional Institution

Oregon, WI 53575-0938,

Defendant.

Classification code 30955

#### ORDER TO PRODUCE RECORD

UPON filing of the summons and complaint by the above named complaint by Harlan Richards, and good cause shown therefore,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the defendant shall prepare the record of the proceedings held in the above decision to transfer Richards from a work release center and deprive him of his community custody security rating and to forward the record to the court and to Harlan Richards within thirty (30) days of the date this order is served on him.

Dated: Sept 4, 2008

By the Court:

State of Wisconsin T DA County of Dane This document is a full, true and correct copy of the prignal on the and of record in my office and has

THIS IS AN AUTHENTICATED COPY OF THE ORIGINAL DOCUMENT FILED WITH THE DANE COUNTY CLERK OF CIRCUIT COURT.

CARLO ESQUEDA **CLERK OF CIRCUIT COURT** 

### DIANE NICKS CIRCUIT COURT, BR. 5

No.

STATE OF WISCONSIN

CIRCUIT COURT

DANE COUNTY

HARLAN R	ICHARDS
----------	---------

Oakhill Correctional Institution,

P.O. Box 938

Oregon, WI

53575

Plaintiff,

Case

U8CV3650

-v-

MARK HEISE, CLASSIFICATION CHIEF

Department of Corrections 3099 E. Washington Ave. Madison, WI 53707-7925,

Classification code 30955

Defendant.

#### SUMMONS (COMPLAINT ATTACHED)

THE STATE OF WISCONSIN TO: MARK HEISE

You are hereby notified that the plaintiff named above has filed a lawsuit or other legal action against you. The complaint, which is attached, states the nature and basis of the legal action.

Within thirty (30) days of receiving this summons you must respond with a written answer, as that term is used in Chapter 802 of the Wisconsin Statutes, to the complaint. The court may reject or disregard an answer that does not follow the requirements of the statutes. The answer must be sent or delivered to the court, whose address is 215 South Hamilton St., Madison, Wisconsin 53703, and to Harlan Richards, whose address is P.O. Box 938, Oregon, Wisconsin 53575. You may have an attorney help or represent you.

If you do not provide a proper answer within thirty (30) days, the court may grant judgment against you for the award of money or other legal action requested in the complaint, and you may lose your right to object to anything that is or may be incorrect in the complaint. A judgment may become a lien against any real estate you own now or in the future, and may also be enforced by garnishment or seizure of property.

Dated this 30

day of  $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{N}}$ 

HARLAN RICHARDS

THIS IS AN AUTHENTICATED COPY OF THE ORIGINAL DOGGOVERN FILED WITH THE DANE COUNTY CLICK OF CIRCUIT COURT.

CARLA CONFEDA GUIPA IN INTOURT COURT

DANE COUNTY

HARLAN RICHARDS
Oakhill Correctional Center

P.O. Box 938 Oregon, WI

Plaintiff, AUG -8 2

, ,

U8CV3650

-v-

Case No.

MARK HEISE, CLASSIFICATION CHIEF Department of Corrections 3099 E. Washington Ave. Madison, WI 53707-7925,

Classification 30955

Defendant.

#### COMPLAINT FOR CERTIORARI RELIEF

#### Nature of the Action

1. This is an action filed pursuant to sec. 801.02(5), stats., as a summons and complaint seeking certiorari review of the decision to deprive Richards of his community custody security rating and transfer him to a secure minimum facility based solely on his receipt of a 12 month defer from the parole commission.

#### Parties

- 2. Plaintiff Harlan Richards has served  $23\frac{1}{2}$  years on a life sentence and is currently housed in Oakhill Correctional Institution (OCI).
- 3. Defendant Mark Heise is the classification chief of the Bureau of Offender Classification and Movement (BOCM) and as such is the final authority on prison transfers and security ratings within the Department of Corrections (DOC).

#### Facts

- 4. In December 2005 Richards transferred from OCI to Gordon Correctional Center (GCC) with a community custody security rating.
- 5. Richards remained at GCC until October 31, 2007, when he transferred to Sangar Powers Correctional Center (SPCC).
- 6. While at GCC, Richards spent 19 months on work release at Jack Links Beef Jerky from March 2006 until October 2007.
- 7. Richards obtained a transfer to SPCC for further work release at a different job because the job at Jack Links required standing and walking on concrete for 8 hours per day which Richards was no longer able to do due to the deteriorating condition of his left ankle caused by a previous injury. Richards has been disabled since 1972.
- 8. Richards arrived at SPCC on November 2, 2007 and began working as an inmate driver ferrying other inmates on work release to their job sites. Richards was removed from that position on Nov. 16, 2007 based on a phone call from an unidentified person within the DOC to Supt. Patrick Melman of SPCC who had Richards suspended pending "further review". Richards was not allowed to return to his driving job nor was any reason ever given for his removal.
- 9. Richards then worked as a center janitor until he was removed from SPCC on April 2, 2008.

- 10. On March 18, 2008, Richards was given a parole hearing where he was informed that he would be receiving a "no action" and his case would be referred to the full commission for a review. No reason was given for the referral to the full commission.
- 11. On April 1, 2008, the full commission recommended that Richards receive a 12 month defer which was accepted by chairman Alfonso Graham.
- 12. Richards has previously received four 11 month defers and three 10 month defers and had been repeatedly recommended for work release by the parole commission since January 2002. The reason given for the increase of Richards' defer was Richards' current offense and prior criminal record. That decision is currently under judicial review (Richards v Graham, 08 CV 2244, Dane County).
- 13. Richards was placed in Temporary Lock Up (TLU) in Redgranite Correctional Institution (RGCI) based on Supt.

  Melman's subjective belief that the 12 month defer made Richards an escape risk if he were allowed to remain at SPCC.
- 14. On April 4, 2008, Richards had a telephonic program review committee (PRC) hearing where he was told by Scott Propheter that he would be losing his community custody rating and returned to a secure minimum facility based on the application of the risk rating guidelines using Richards' 12 month defer.

15. BOCM staff approved the recommendation of the PRC on April 7, 2008, stating:

"ELEVATION TO A D-12 SUGGESTS THAT RELEASE VIA PAROLE IS NOW DELAYED RELATIVE TO HIS PREVIOUS PAROLE ACTIONS. NOTE OFFENSE AND OFFENSE HISTORY RELATIVE TO THIS CHANGE BY PC. MONITOR FENCED MINIMUM DUE TO PC ACTION." (exhibit A attached)

- 16. On April 28, 2008, Richards filed his administrative appeal of the PRC decision raising the following issues:
- a. That Richards had done nothing wrong and could not lose his community custody rating and placement at a center absent misconduct on his part because it was a liberty interest protected under the Due Process Clause;
- b. That other inmates were routinely housed at centers with community custody with 12-month or longer defers and that Richards was being denied equal protection of the law;
- c. That the use of the Risk Rating Guidelines to raise his risk rating to moderate and return him to a secure minimum was an abuse of discretion in relying on an unpromulgated rule contrary to <u>State el rel Richards v Traut</u>, 429 N.W. 2d 81 (Wis. App. 1988) (exhibit C).
- 17. On July 28, 2008, Richards received the decision on his appeal affirming his loss of community custody and transfer:

"A change in parole potential does impact risk and is cause to revisit custody & placement. Minimum assignment with placement at OCI is supported." (exhibit D).

#### Statement of Claim

- 18. The decision to remove Richards from a work release center and deprive him of his community custody rating was deficient for the following reasons:
- a. The decision was arbitrary and capricious and violated Richards' substantive due process right to retain his status absent misconduct on his part;
- b. The decision denied equal protection of the law in removing Richards while allowing other inmates with parole defers just as long or longer to retain placement at a center and community custody;
- c. The decision was contrary to law and an abuse of discretion in relying the the Risk Rating Guidelines which meet the criteria for administrative rules but were never properly promulgated.

#### Relief Rquested

19. Richards prays that this court will issue an order to prepare the record in the above proceedings and forward copies to the court and to Richards so that the court may review the decision made in this case.

20. The upon review of the record that the court reverse the decision of defendant and order the decision to be expunged from Richards' social services file and all other department of corrections records thereby returning Richards to the status he had before the decision was issued.

Dated this  $30^{\circ}$  day of  $50^{\circ}$ , 2008.

Respectfully submitted,

P.O. Box 938

Oregon, WI 53575-0938

## PROGRAM REVIEW INMATE CLASSIFICATION SUMMARY

Inmate Copy

04/04/08 Page 1 of 2

	1490 1 01 2
INMATE NAME Last First MI SUF INMATE REPORTING INSTITUTION WCCS-S B POWERS	AREA # 12042
DATE RECEIVED A&E   LATEST PAROLE ACTION   PED   MR/ES DATE   MAXIMUM   11/09/84   DEFER (12 MONTHS)   05/20/09   / /   **/**/LI	DISCHARGE DATE
MEDICAL REPORT DATE   MEDICAL CONDITION Primary NO SPECIAL CONDITION 07/15/03   Secondary NO SPECIAL CONDITION Other	r NO
MEDICAL ACTIVITY LEVEL   MEDICAL HOLD DATE   MEDICAL/DENTAL PLACEMENT ST   MEDICAL/DENTAL PLACEMENT ST	'ATUS
DENTAL REPORT DATE   DENTAL CLASSIFICATION   DENTAL HOLD DATE   12/04/03   NO DENTAL CONSTRAINTS   / /	1 1
CONTROL TRECORDER TO A TO	
Offence Description Pelationship Term	PON IN CRIME
DETAINERS/PENDING CHARGES NONE KNOWN	
PROGRAM PERFORMANCE Program A&E Need MENTAL HEALTH CLASIFICATN CLINICAL ASSESSMENT NEED AGGRESS/ANGER MGMT COUNSG CHALLENGE INCARCERATION INTENSIVE SANCTIONS EARNED RELEASE PROGRAM ASSOC DEGREE COLLEGE PROG WOOD INDUSTRIES A&E Need Participation Code MH-0 NO CURRENT MH NEED 05/01/04 COMPLETED 03/28/94 COMPLETED 07/08/04 TERMINATED-ADMINISTRATIVE 09/14/95 EXCLUDED OFFENSE 07/08/04 COMPLETED 10/25/88 COMPLETED 09/20/93	Exit Date  09/03/92 05/16/94  03/08/02  06/05/90 10/25/93
TYPE OF REVIEW EARLY   LAST PRC DATE	08/16/07
SOCIAL WORKER SUMMARY AND APPRAISAL OF PROGRAM REVIEW REQUEST  I/M WAS INTERVIEWED FOR THIS PRC ON 04/04/08. HE WAS  ADVISED THAT THIS HEARING WILL ADDRESS CUSTODY, PROGRAM ASSIGNMENT, A  INSTITUTION PLACEMENT. HE WISHES TO APPEAR BEFORE THE PRC COMMITTEE.  OFFENSE DESCRIPTION: 2ND INCARCERATION. 1ST DEGREE MURDER. I/M STABBE ANOTHER UNARMED MALE 21 TIMES WITH A 3 INCH KNIFE WHICH RESULTED IN TO  VICTIMS DEATH.  PRIOR OFFENSE: 1973 MANSLAUGHTER, SHOT AND KILLED HIS BROTHER.  P/C: DATE OF ACTION: 04/01/08, DF-12, PED 5/20/09, NO PPI REQUESTED.  SPN: OSCI  ESCAPE: NONE NOTED. PROGRAM NEEDS: COMPLETED  MEDICAL/DENTAL: SEE MEDICAL FILE FOR ADDITIONAL INFO.  R/R: CURRENT OFFENSE: HIGH, OFFENSE HISTORY: MOD, SENTENCE STRUCTURE:  DUE TO INCREASE TO D-12.  I/M REQUESTS: I/M REQUESTS TO STAY AT SPCC WITH MINIMUM-COMMUNITY CUST  IF MINIMUM-COMMUNITY IS ELEVATED, HE STILL WISHES TO STAY AT SPCC. IF  MEDIUM CUSTODY HE PREFERS TO BE TRANSFERRED TO FMCI.  SOCIAL WORKER RECOMMENDATIONS: SW RECOMMENDS CUSTODY ELEVATION FROM M.  COMMUNITY TO MINIMUM DUE TO HIM RECEIVING A D-12 FROM THE PAROLE BOARD  WHICH RAISES HIS RISK RATING FOR SENTENCE STRUCTURE TO MODERATE. SW  REQUESTS TRANSFER TO ANY SECURE MINIMUM.  A 12 MONTH RECALL IS REQUESTED.	ND D HE MOD TODY. GIVEN INIMUM

Exhibit A-1

ASSIGNMENT
1. INVUNA 2. JANITOR

SOCIAL WORKER NAME CRAWFORD

COMPLETION DATE 04/04/08

WISCONSIN Adm. Code Chapter DOC 302

## PROGRAM REVIEW INMATE CLASSIFICATION SUMMARY

04/04/08

Page 2 of 2

INMATE NAME Last First MI SUF | INMATE # | TYPE OF REVIEW RICHARDS HARLAN C | 037975 | EARLY

PROGRAM REVIEW COMMITTEE COMMENTS, RECOMMENDATIONS, AND DECISION

INMATE APPEARED BEFORE PRC TO RE-EVALUATE CUSTODY, PLACEMENT AND PROGRAM ISSUES SINCE HIS LAST PRC. CASE PREPARATION OCCURED PER S. 302.17. SW COMMENTS INCLUDING OFFENSE DETAILS NOTED.

THIS IS AN EARLY REVIEW. AT AGE 54, HE IS SERVING 2ND INCARCERATION. PRIOR RECORD INCLUDES 3/73 MANSLAUGHTER. PAROLE COMMISSION GAVE INMATE A D-12 WITH NEW PED 0F 05/20/09. FULL BOARD REVIEW 04/01/08 W UNANUMOUS DECISION FOR D-12. MR DATE IS LIFE AND MD OF LIFE.

MODERATE RISK RATING. PROGRAM. PROGRAM NEEDS AND PARTICIPATION HAVE BEEN REVIEWED BY THE SW.

HE COMPLETED CLINICAL ASSESSMENT, ANGER MANGT, WOOD INDUSTRIES AND ASSOC. DEG COLLEGE PROGRAM.

SINCE ADMISSION TO AGE ON 11/09/1984, HE HAS RECEIVED 0 MINOR AND 0 MAJOR CR'S. MEDICAL CODE IS MODERATE (07/15/03. DENTAL CODE IS 10. ERP & CIP- EXCLUDED OFFENSE. SPN NOTED AT OSCI. INMATE REQUESTS CONTINUED PLACEMENT AT SPCC IN MINIMUM COMMUNITY CUSTODY OR FMCI PLÂCEMENT IN MINIMUM CUSTODY. HE STATES THAT HE WILL APPEAL PAROLE ACTION AND CUSTODY EVALUATION. THIS IS AN EARLY HEARING DUE TO PAROLE ACTION AND CUSTODY EVALUATION. THIS IS AN EARLY HEARING DUE TO PAROLE COMMISSION ACTION OF D-12. I/M SAW PAROLE BOARD ON 03/18/087 AND RECV'D A NO ACTION. A FULL BOARD WAS HELD ON 04/01/08 AND A UNANIMOUS DECISION WAS MADE RESULTING IN A D-12 BASED ON D-12 I/M'S RISK RATING ON SENTENCE STRUCTURELELEVATED TO MODERATE. SPCC SUPT REQUESTED PRC HEARING TO EXAMINE RISK RATING, CUSTODY & PLACEMENT ISSUES.

PER SS. DOC 302.07 & 302.09, THE COMMITTEE UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS MINIMUM CUSTODY WITH TRANSFER TO PDCI;OCI, TEMP RGCI;DCI.

A 12 MONTH RECALL IS SET. RECALL AND RECOMMENDATION ARE BASED ON: SENTENCE STRUCTURE, OFFENSE HISTORY, POSITIVE INSTIT. ADJ AND PC ACTION OF D-12 (LIFER).

CLASSIFICATION EXPECTATIONS ARE TO: MAINTAIN POSITIVE INSTITUTION ADJ., ERROLL IN ESSENTIAL TREATMENT WHEN AVAILABLE AND FACILITATE RELEASE PLANNING.

PER S. DOC 302.18, INMATE MAY APPEAL WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE INMATE'S RECEIPT OF THE WRITTEN DECISION. DCC AREA IS 120.

INMATE PRESENT AT PROGRAM REVIEW | SECOND STEP REFERRAL NO

CURRENT CUSTODY RATING | TOTAL # OF CONDUCT REPORTS RECEIVED | LAST MAJOR CONDUCT REPORT DATE MIN-COMUNITY | Minor 000 | Major 000 | / /

RISK RATING MOD | SPECIAL PLACEMENT NEEDS OSCI

RISK RATING GUIDELINE DISCRETIONARY | CUSTODY RATING MINIMUM

INSTITUTION ASSIGNMENT | TEMPORARY ASSIGNED INSTITUTION | RECALL DATE | 04/09

NAMES OF STAFFING COMMITTEE | REVIEW DATE | O4/04/08

CENTRAL OFFICE DECISION COMMENTS

ELEVATION TO A D-12 SUGGESTS THAT RELEASE VIA PAROLE IS NOW DELAYED RELATIVE TO PREVIOUS PAROLE ACTIONS. NOTE OFFENSE AND OFFENSE HISTORY RELATIVE TO THIS CHANGE BY PC. MONITOR FENCED MINIMUM DUE TO PC ACTION.

Exhibit A-2

CENTRAL OFFICE DECISION APPROVED	CENTRAL OFFICE STAFF INITIALS   AH MKH	DECISION DATE 04/07/08	
TRANSFER PRIORITY CODE C			

WISCONSIN Adm. Code Chapter DOC 302

Exhibit A-3

## PROGRAM REVIEW INMATE RISK ASSESSMENT

		INMATE RISK AS	DEDOLIEM I		
INMATE NAME La RICHARDS	st First HARLAN	MI Suffix C	INMATE #   037975	INSTITUT   WCCS-S B	
MONTH TO MR DAT	E   SC	OCIAL WORKER NAME (L	ast)	DATE COM 04/04/08	
CURRENT OFFENSE	S			Rating:	HIGH
Offe 1. MURDER 2. 3.	nse Description 1ST DEG	n Relationship GOVERNING	Term LIFE		
Commen	t: 1ST DEGREE	MURDER			
OFFENSE HISTORY				Rating:	MOD
Commen	t: 03/73 MANSI	AUGHTER, ERROR CORR	ECTED 8/25/99		
SENTENCE STRUCT				Rating:	MOD
	k: LIFER-CATEO	ORY I			
-		JESTED, DF-12 PED 5/	20/09, MR:LIFE.		
INSTITUTION ADJ				Rating:	LOW
Commen	t: EXCELLENT 2	ZERO SINCE A&E 11-0	9-84.		
Conduct Report	t Offense:				
Disposition Da	ate: / /	Release to	Population Date:	′ /	
			g: 0 Loss of Time	. 0	
ESCAPE HISTORY				Rating:	LOW
Comment	t:				
EMOTIONAL/MENTAL				Rating:	LOW
Comment	t:				
PROGRAM PARTICIE				Rating:	LOW
Comment	t: COMPLETED				
TEMPORARY FACTOR				Rating:	
County Hold:	NO	Detainer	/Pending Charges: NO		
Field Informat	cion Unavailabl	e: NO	INS Status: NO		
Other Condition	on: NO				
Comment	::				
RISK RATING: MC					

	•	APPEAL OF			
ASSESSMENT AND E	VALUATION	(A&E) OR PRO	OGRAN	I REVIEW (PR	() DEC ISION
The A&E/PR staffing recommendation	n is not subject to ann	eal Per DOC 302 18	only the clas	sification director/design	anee decision(s)
concerning the staffing recommenda	tions on one of the fol	lowing are subject to a	ppeal on this	s form:	
		stody classification	•		
		stitution placement/tu			
		entification of a prog			
2. PROGRAMS: Concerns regarding po			on are <u>not</u> s	subject to appeal on t	his form. These
concerns should be submitted directly	to the facility Warder	n/Superintendent.		a contraction has about a la	:Eti
3. Per 302.18, appeals will only be cons			ot of the writ	ten decision by the cla	ssincation
director/designee concerning an A&E			STAFFING	DATE OF STAFFING	DATE OF DECISION
•		i		1	ı
Harlan Richards		PCC/OCI   A&E	₽ PR	4/4/08	4/7∦08
CHECK ALL DECISION(S) YOU ARE REQUEST					
Custody Classification  Institution Place	ement/Transfer 🔲 Ide	entification of a Program h	leed; Specify	Program:	
SUMMARIZE REASON(S) FOR APPEAL:	PRINT LEGIBLY-ATT	ACHMENTS MAY DELAY	RESPONSE	TIME	
The parole commis	ecion increas	end my defer	from a	ten to a 12	for no
apparent reason. The	Supt. at SPC	CC then place	d me ir	n TLU at RGCI	and
ordered an early PRC h	nearing. I w	vas given a t	elephor	nic hearing w	here
I had my community cus	stody taken a	away and was	transfe	erred to a se	cure
minimum - OCI. I have	e done nothir	na wrona On	ce I ea	arned a commu	nitv
custody rating and tra	ensfer to a o	center I cann	ot lose	that absent	
misconduct on my part	. An increas	se of my defe	r from	a 10 to a 12	ABOVE 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 10
after I have served or	ver 23 years	in prison is	irrele	evant and can	not
			(cont	tinued on nex	t page)
Send via U.S. mail to Bureau of Off	ender Classification	& Movement-Appeal	, Post Offic	e Box 7925, Madison	, WI. 53707 <i>-</i> 7925
OFFENDERS SIGNATURE 1	7 / \			DATE SIG	
Harlan	- Kush	λo		14-	28-08
	OFFENDED CLA	SSIFICATION AND	MOVEMEN	UT DESDONSE	
(1) ORIGINAL DECISION IS AFFIRMED					
(2) APPEAL REJECTED: (a) Form inc		•			ded
`	• • • • •	am issue subject to warder	review (f)	Issue addressed:	
(3) ORIGINAL DECISION IS ALTERED	OR MODIFIED (a) Cu	ustody to:	(b)□	Institution Placement/Tran	isfer to:
	(c)☐ Re	ecall altered to:	(d)	Program Need:	
REASONS:				<b></b>	
Decision in compliance with DOC 302 Cur Pending matters Conduct/adjustment histo	ory HSU/PSU review a	Ill county jail placements	] In accordan	<ul> <li>Time likely to be serve ce with DOC criteria for stat</li> </ul>	ed ted program
Professional recommendations by other depart	nentsProgram asses	smenuperrormance <u></u>	tner:		
	•				
		-			
				mark de de	. р 1
				Exhibit	D-1

DATE OF DECISION

#### Page Two of Two

#### Appeal of Program Review Decision

justify a loss of my custody rating or transfer from SPCC. It should be noted that I have a perfect conduct record, no history of escapes, spent 19 months on work release and  $2\frac{1}{2}$  years in centers. This transfer is an "atypical and significant" change in circumstances which triggers the protections of the Due Process Clause. I cannot be sent back to prison based on a 2 month change in my parole defer when it was already clear that I would not be getting a parole until after I received a PPI - which I had no prospect of getting at the current hearing.

De Andre Armour is at SPCC on an 18 month defer from the parole commission with community custody. When I was at GCC, Richard Swanson - a lifer - had a 12 month defer with community custody and worked with time at Jack Links Beef Jerky. Even if loss of community custody was justified - which it wasn't - I should have been allowed to stay at SPCC. Terry Erickson - a lifer - just spent 2 years at TCC on 12 month defers before getting a 10 month defer last fall. I have encountered dozens of guys at GCC and SPCC who did not have community custody yet were allowed to reside at centers.

At my hearing, Mr. Propheter stated that the Risk Rating Guidelines were mandatory and that with a 12 month defer I was now moderate risk and had to be returned to a secure minimum. This fails for 2 reasons: 1) The guidelines are advisory, not mandatory, and under the totality of the circumstances there was no rational factual basis to justify taking my community custody or transferring me to a secure minimum after I had spent so much time at centers and on work release; 2) The guidelines are an unpromulgated rule which may not be used to render an adverse PRC decision against me. In State ex rel Richards v Traut, 429 N.W. 2d 81 (Wis. App. 1988), the court held that the use of an unpromulgated Tayear rule to deny me transfer to medium security was an abuse of discretion. An unpromulgated rule may not be used to take away my community custody and remove me from a center.

I should be returned to SPCC and my community custody reinstated. The 12 month defer was unlawfully imposed on me and will be vacated. I should not be subjected to irrepairable harm in the interim.

Dated: 4-24-08

Harlan Richards 37975

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS Ulvisions of Adult institutions

Received MAY 1 2 2008 Page One of Two

WISCONSIN Administrative Code Chapter DOC 302

DOC-1252 (Rev. 12/2006)	BOOM SCAPPEAL OF	10 to A tours	
ACCECCASENT AND	EVALUATION (ARE) OR PE	ROGRAM REVIEW (PR) DEC	ISION
. The ARCINO eleffers commands	ation le not subject in anneal. Per DUU 304, 10	S. Offiny the Classification direction deergines	sion(s)
1. The A&E/PR staining recommendation concerning the staffing recommen	unations on one of the logowing are subject to	appear on the form	
•	✓ custody classification ✓ institution placement	FI	
	Cinimal Panting of a per	nram need	There
2 PROGRAMS: Concerns regarding	g program start date, enrollment or termina	tion are <u>not</u> subject to appeal on this form.	Hese
		elpt of the written decision by the classification	
director/designee concerning an A	A&E/PR recommendation.		DECISION
OFFENDER NAME	DOC NUMBER INSTITUTION / TYPE		
Harlan Richards		E E111 4/4/00 11/1/	
CHECK ALL DECISION(S) YOU ARE REQUI	ESTING TO BE REVIEWED    lighted to be recognized to the program	n Need; Specify Program:	
SUMMARIZE REASON(S) FOR APPEAL:	PRINT LEGIBLY-ATTACHMENTS MAY DEL	AY RESPONSE TIME	
The parole comm		from a ten to a 12 for no	)
apparent reason. Th	he Supt. at SPCC then place	ced me in TLU at RGCI and	
ordered an early PRO	C hearing. I was given a	telephonic hearing where	
I had my community of	custody taken away and was	s transferred to a secure	
		Once I earned a community	
	transfer to a center I car	nnot lose that absent	
	<del></del>	•	
misconduct on my par	rt. An increase of my def	fer from a 10 to a 12	
after I have served	over 23 years in prison	is irrelevant and cannot	
		. (continued on next pag	
Send via U.S. mail to Bureau of	Offender Classification & Movement-App	eal, Post Office Box 7925, Madison, Wl. 537	07-7925
OFFENDERS SIGNATURE	1) in a ha	DATE SIGNED 4-28-	
RURFAL	OF OFFENDER CLASSIFICATION AN	D MOVEMENT RESPONSE	
ima market amount to trees	ALCO consorpings (a) Justicity Classification	Institution Placement/Transfer (c) Program Ne	ed
MA COADDEAL DE LECTED: (m) Foot	m incomplate (circled) (D):   Subtativo pranto receiv	tot decision (a)(C) as a s)	
(d)☐ Not	subject to appeal (e) Program lesue subject to war	rden review (f) lasue addressed: (b) sittution Placement/Transfer to:	
(3) ORIGINAL DECISION IS ALTER	RED OR MODIFIED (a) Custody to: (c) Recall altered for:	(d)☐ Program Need:	
· REASONS			
	Settrent Offense/history Covernics of crime/violat	the limit are a constant and a const	m
Professional recommendations by other de	epartments Program assessment/performance	LIOPIER:	4
a phase	si sando sote	ntial does impa	E1
a change		it a tel e	
risk and	is cause to rel	ntial does impa	
De same	Munimum and	egnion .	
gurement.	Meninim and	natod.	
glacement	at OCT is purp	- Coron	
	ODED - SICNATING / / /	DATE OF DE	CISION

7-28-08 Richard

☐ Copy – Warden/Supt. re Program

Exhibit C

DISTRIBUTION: Copy - Offender: Copy - Institution Case File ; Copy - A&E/PRC Social Worker;